

RWF Task Group on Safety Surfacing

Teleconference Meeting Summary DRAFT

June 23, 2022

This document is the property of NSF International (NSF) and is for NSF Committee purpose only. Unless given prior approval from NSF, it **shall not** be reproduced, circulated, or quoted, in whole or in part, outside of NSF.

Participating members:

Life Floor	Keller, Jonathan
NSF International	Schaefer, Kevin
Waterplay Solutions	Scott, Dennis

Participating observers:

Daldorado	Lawson, Robert
SEA, Ltd.	Amenson, PhD, MPH, Tara
National Recreation and Park Association	Boland, Julie
IAPMO	Choe, Sung
Consultant	Hamil, Elizabeth
Life Floor	Howell, Brian
NSF International	Wagner, Sandra
NSF International	Snider, Jason

Discussion

J. Keller welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. J. Snider took roll and read the anti-trust statement. Three of the 11 voting members were present (27%) which did not represent a quorum.

The group began with a review of the [previous meeting summary](#). J. Keller reminded the group that the recent call had focused mainly on fall heights, the possibility of including optional increased fall height testing in the standard and what criteria these would be based on. He added that the previous discussions had also led to the question of whether the testing facilities would be able to conduct the UV testing for the sample size. K. Schaefer stated that the NSF lab had a UV chamber sized 12" x 20". K. Schaefer cautioned that validating height claims could lead to marketing battles and offered that a minimum fall height with claim-based requirements may be better. J. Boland noted that ASTM 3351 was established to provide options to users and suggested the same should be considered for this standard. J. Keller suggested that tiers could be created with certain fall heights for certain claims, such as keeping the 0.2 m minimum height requirement and exploring adding an optional 1.07 m test. He added that this would provide options for manufacturers that incorporate climbable features in their design. The group discussed implementation of possible changes to HIC and G max. J. Boland urged the group to provide rationale if changes are made.

J. Keller suggested he, S. Choe, and K. Schaefer work to draft language, with the goal of straw balloting soon.

Action items

- J. Keller, S. Choe, and K. Schaefer to draft language.
- J. Snider to send out doodle poll for August meeting.